Development of a Decision Rule for Children at High Risk of Prolonged Post-Concussive Syndrome

Kara Seaton MD¹, Robert Doss PsyD^{2,3}, Joseph Petronio MD², Henry Ortega MD¹, and Anupam Kharbanda MD¹ ¹Children's Hospitals and Clinics of Minnesota Division of Emergency Medicine, ²Children's Neuroscience Center, ³Minnesota Epilepsy Group

Background

- One out of every 220 Pediatric Emergency Department visits is for concussion.
- While many children have an uneventful recovery, some will go on to have prolonged symptoms lasting weeks to months.
- •To date, there is no consensus on how to predict which patients will have a typical recovery, and which will develop prolonged post-concussive syndrome (PCS) at the time of injury.

Objective

- To identify clinical parameters associated with prolonged PCS in pediatric patients diagnosed with concussion.
- To develop a clinical decision rule to identify children at high risk for prolonged post-concussive symptoms.

Methods

- Study Design: Retrospective cohort study, with data collected from September 2011 through February 2013.
- Subjects: Children 8-18 years who were diagnosed with concussion and sought care at a multidisciplinary concussion clinic.
- •Outcome: Prolonged recovery, with symptoms lasting > 14 days.
- Exclusion Criteria: Age < 8 years, neurosurgical intervention, abnormal findings on radiologic studies, or unknown time to recovery.
- •Analysis: We used the Chi-square test for categorical variables, and t-test for continuous variables. Variables that were significantly associated with prolonged PCS were then analyzed using recursive partitioning (RP) to develop a high risk clinical decision tool. In model creation, we aimed for a sensitivity > 90% and a specificity of > 20%.

Results

 Table 1. Demographics.

	All patients	Normal recovery	Prolonged recovery	p Value
Age	13.2 (SD = 2.5)	12.8 (SD = 2.8)	13.3 (SD = 2.4)	0.08
Male gender	255 (61%)	67 (74%)	188 (58%)	0.004
Recovery time (days)	28 (IQR = 16-52)	10 (IQR = 4-12)	36 (IQR = 22-62)	<0.001
Mechanism				
•Sport	294 (71%)	55 (61%)	239 (74%)	
•Fall	54 (13%)	12 (13%)	42 (13%)	0.02
•Other	67 (16%)	23 (26%)	44 (14%)	

Table 2. Clinical predictors of prolonged recovery.

Clinical Predictor	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %	PPV, %	p Value
Vomiting	9.9	78.9	62.7	0.014
Dizziness	79.6	42.2	83.2	< 0.001
Difficulty with balance	56.5	63.3	84.7	0.002
Romberg test	42.8	85.0	91.4	< 0.001
Tandem gait test	24.2	93.4	93.0	0.01

Figure 1. RP analysis model correctly identifies 278 of the 325 patients with prolonged recovery.

All patients: 90 Normal recovery 325 Prolonged recovery

Normal Romb 78 Normal reco 197 Prolonge recovery

Abnormal Rom 12 Normal recovery 128 Prolonged recovery

erg:	
very	
ed	
berg:	

No Dizziness: 34 Normal recovery 47 Prolonged recovery

Dizziness: 44 Normal recovery 150 Prolonged recovery

Key Results

- patients eligible for inclusion in the study.
- likely to have PCS (p = 0.004).
- or history of prior concussion, migraine, or depression.
- - Specificity of 37.8% (95% CI 28.0-48.7)

Conclusion

- dizziness at the time of injury are at higher risk of PCS.
- school and return to play.

Limitations

- Single center study.
- approach.



• A total of 472 patients were enrolled over the 18 month study period, with 415

• Gender was significantly associated with recovery, with females being more

• The following parameters were not significantly associated with developing PCS: headache, loss of consciousness, wearing a helmet, problems with gait,

• The RP model identified a) abnormal Romberg or b) normal Romberg with the presence of dizziness as key parameters associated with increased risk of PCS. • Sensitivity of 85.5% (95% CI 81.1-89.1)

• Patients who present with concussion and have an abnormal Romberg or

• This rule could easily be utilized in the ED to quickly assess patient risk.

 Early identification of patients at high risk for PCS could help to set reasonable expectations about recovery for families, including return to

• Our patient population was skewed towards a longer recovery, which may represent a selection bias of patients evaluated in the concussion clinic.

• Before clinical usage, it will be necessary to further assess the clinical decision tool. Ideally this would be done using a prospective, multicenter